


**Proposed Extension of Public Golf Course
at Kau Sai Chau Island, Sai Kung**

**Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit (EM&A) Report
for September 2006**

(Report No. 382210/009)

Report Authorized For
Issue By:



For and on Behalf of
Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited

Black & Veatch Hong Kong Limited
Room 1201-11, Millennium City 5,
418 Kwun Tong Road,
Kowloon, Hong Kong

The Hong Kong Jockey Club
One Sports Road
Happy Valley
Hong Kong

October 2006

Table of Content

Executive Summary

1.Introduction

- 1.1 Background of the Project*
- 1.2 Purpose of the Report*
- 1.3 Structure of the Report*

2.Project Information

- 2.1 Background*
- 2.2 Site Description*
- 2.3 Project Organization*
- 2.4 Construction Programme*
- 2.5 Status of Environmental Submission*
- 2.6 Summary of EM&A Requirements*

3.Environmental Monitoring Requirements

- 3.1 Air Quality*
- 3.2 Water Quality*
- 3.3 Ecology*
- 3.4 Landscape and Visual*
- 3.5 Archaeology (Watching Brief)*
- 3.6 Land Contamination*

4.Implementation Status on Environmental Protection Requirements

5.Monitoring Results

- 5.1 Air Quality*
- 5.2 Water Quality*
- 5.3 Ecology*
- 5.4 Archaeology (Watching Brief)*
- 5.5 Land Contamination*

6.Environmental Site Auditing

7.Environmental Non-Conformance

- 7.1 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance*
- 7.2 Summary of Environmental Complaint*
- 7.3 Summary of Environmental Summons*

8.Future Key Issues

- 8.1 Key Issues for coming month*
- 8.2 Monitoring Schedule for the coming month*
- 8.3 Construction programme for the next three month*

9.Recommendations and Conclusions

List of Figure

- 1.1 Master Layout Plan of the Proposed Third Golf Course
- 1.2 Project Organisation and Lines of Communication
- 3.1 Proposed Air Quality Monitoring Locations
- 3.2 Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Locations (Construction phase)
- 3.3 Proposed Ecology Monitoring Locations
- 3.4a Locations of Coral and Seagrass Monitoring (Sites D2, D3 and C)
- 3.4b Indicative locations of the tagged corals at Site B2 under the revised monitoring regime
- 3.5 Coral Transplantation Location
- 3.6 Watching Brief Monitoring Area
- 3.7 Sampling Locations for Land Contamination

List of Table

- Table 1.1 Structure of the Report
- Table 2.1 Summary of Compliance with EP Conditions
- Table 2.2 Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements
- Table 3.1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP
- Table 3.2 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP
- Table 3.3 TSP Monitoring Parameter and Frequency
- Table 3.4 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment
- Table 3.5 Derived Summaries of Action and Limit Levels for Marine Water Quality
- Table 3.6 Derived Summaries of Action and Limit Levels for Freshwater Water Quality
- Table 3.7 Water Quality Monitoring Parameter, Frequency and Locations
- Table 3.8 Water Quality Monitoring Locations during Construction Phase
- Table 3.9 Analytical Methods to be applied to Water Quality Samples
- Table 5.2-1 Marine Water Exceedance Summary August - September 2006
- Table 5.2-2 Freshwater Exceedance Summary August to September 2006
- Table 5.3-1 Conditions of tagged corals at Site B2
- Table 5.3-2 Conditions of tagged corals at Site C
- Table 5.3-3 Conditions of tagged corals at Control Site
- Table 6.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

List of Annex

- Annex A Tentative Construction Programme
- Annex B Monitoring Programme for the reporting month
- Annex C Event Action Plan
- Annex D Implementation status on Environmental Protection Requirements
- Annex E Monitoring results
- Annex F Calibration Certificates
- Annex G Monitoring Programme for the next three months
- Annex H Construction Programme for the next three months

	Name	Signature	Date
Prepared	Esther Tong		Oct 2006
Checked	Manuel Chua		Oct 2006
Reviewed	PK Lee		Oct 2006

[illegible]

Your ref : 40040032/CERT/10_06.doc
Our ref :

**Proposed Extension of Public Golf Course at Kau Sai Chau Island, Sai Kung
(Independent Environmental Checker)**

CHECK CERTIFICATE

1. We certify that professional skill and care have been used in the checking of the Environmental Team's (ET) No.9 Monthly EM&A Report for September 2006 for the construction of Proposed Extension of Public Golf Course at Kau Sai Chau Island, Sai Kung.
2. We certify that the ET's EM&A programme for the reporting period has been satisfactorily executed and the No.9 Monthly EM&A report for September 2006 has been verified.
3. We would comment that our evaluation of the ET's EM&A is based on a random audit process which cannot be guaranteed to have all non-conformities identified.

Signed



Independent Environmental Checker

Name Gary Tam

of Hong Kong Productivity Council
3/F., HKPC Building,
78 Tat Chee Avenue,
Kowloon

Date 4 October 2006

Executive Summary

This is the ninth Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report prepared by Black & Veatch, the designated Environmental Team (ET), for the Project “Proposed Extension of Public Golf Course at Kau Sai Chau Island, Sai Kung”. The construction works of golf course was commenced on 16th January 2006. This report presents the results of the EM&A works conducted in the month of September 2006 (25th August 2006 to 24th September 2006).

Summary of construction works undertaken during this report period

Variation of the Environmental Permit for the temporary stream crossings at Stream B (B1 & B2) during wet seasons was approved on 18 August 2006 and southern portion of the third golf course was commenced once. Construction of temporary crossing at Streams B2 and B1 were completed in late August 2006 and early September 2006 respectively. The decking of the two temporary crossings was paved with concrete. Vegetation clearance and haul road formation were started in early September 2006 at Holes 11, 12 and 14-16. Bulk earthwork was commenced in mid-September 2006 at Hole 11.

Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and Remediation Action Plan (RAP) were approved on 18 August 2006. A pilot scale for the remedial work of the contaminated soil at Hole 18 was carried out during the reporting month. The full scale remediation work will be carried out on 4 October 2006 and a Final Site Remediation Report (FSRR) will be prepared by the Contractor in the next reporting month.

Haul roads formation from (i) Hole 10 through Hole 11 to Hole 12 was completed, (ii) Hole 10 through Hole 16, then Hole 14 to Hole 15 was completed and (iii) Hole 13 was in progress. Vegetation clearance at Hole 11 and Hole 12 were completed. For Hole 16, vegetation clearance was on-going. Bulk earthwork was started in mid-September at Hole 11 only.

According to the EM&A manual, a grave (G20) located at Hole 2 is required to be preserved by record and will be carried out during the next reporting month. Archaeology watching brief was started at Holes 11, 12, and 16 during this reporting month. Stream buffer zone was demarcated at Streams A, B1, B2 and C (one side facing to the haul road only).

For northern and central portions of the third golf course, most of the bulk earthworks were completed at Holes 1-9 and 17 during the reporting month. It will move to the next phases of the construction sequence which are mainly the drainage system installation, irrigation system installation, turfing and furnishing. For southern portions of the third golf course, major construction works are vegetation clearance, haul road formation and bulk earthworks. The expected turf establishment period will be started on December 2006 but it will totally depend on the availability and water quality of the water source from desalination plant, existing reservoir and water storage from rain water on site. There is no conclusive planting date yet. However, Holes 3, 5 & 8 will be the three targets golf holes for earliest turfing.

Major construction works of the third golf course were (i) vegetation clearance at Hole 11, 12 and 16, (ii) major filling work at Holes 1 & 17, (iii) drainage system installation at Hole 7 was completed and Hole 5 was on-going, (iv) gravity drain from Lake 1D to existing reservoir was in progress, (v) rock breaking activities were carried out mainly at Holes 6, 10 and 18 and (vi) construction of the permanent bridge no.5 at the downstream of freshwater inland marsh. Sheet piles have been installed at both sides of bridge abutment.

The floating pontoon was located and operated at the designated location according to Environmental Permit (EP). Concrete batching plant has been in operation which was produce about 100 m³ per day. For the desalination plant, no dredging work for the desalination plant intake and outfall pipelines was carried out but only land formation work.

Environmental Monitoring and Audit Progress

A summary of monitoring activities in this reporting period is shown as follows:

24-hour Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) monitoring at GCA B1	5 times
Water quality monitoring (marine + freshwater)	4 times
Terrestrial Ecology	1 time
Marine Ecology	1 time
Landscaping & Visual	2 times

Air Quality

5 sets of 24-hour TSP monitoring were carried out on 29th August, 4th, 9th, 15th and 21st September 2006 at Bungalow A (GCA B1) at Kau Sai Chau during this reporting month. No exceedance of 24-TSP was recorded at GCA B1.

Water Quality

4 sets of water quality monitoring were carried out on 31st August, 4th, 12th and 18th September 2006 at 9 marine and 7 freshwater monitoring locations. Additional water samples were taken on 9th and 14th September 2006 due to heavy rainstorms. Monitoring was performed on scheduled. Silty runoff was observed and continuously discharging from the construction site to the freshwater inland marsh since the rainstorm events occurred in mid-April 2006. Exceedances of suspended solids and turbidity at Stream C were recorded since the haul road formation at Holes 15 & 16.

Terrestrial Ecology

Terrestrial ecology was conducted on 21st September 2006. The demarcation of the stream buffer zone had been established for Streams A, B1 and B2, the works fronts and haul roads had advanced beyond Stream B and reached near Stream C at the time of the monitoring survey. Stream C buffer zone demarcation establishment will be finished by the Contractor before the works fronts reach Stream C. In general, the streams and the riparian vegetation were in natural conditions similar to the condition during the Baseline Survey.

Aquatic fauna communities were checked during the monitoring survey. Atyid shrimp *Caridina trifasciata* were found in Stream B, Stream C & Stream D during the present monitoring survey. The recent rainfalls in September 2006 had significantly increased the flow in the streams, but the advance of works fronts also increased the sediment inside the streams, especially in Stream C. It was observed that the water in Stream C was not clear but with a certain degree of turbulence. This observation coincided with the suspended solids and turbidity exceedances of water quality record during the reporting month.

In the June 2006 monitoring survey, the main stream course of Stream A was found to be filled up with rubbles to the level of the weir at its downstream end, and its conditions remained similar in the recent monitoring survey. Remedial work was not implemented by the Contractor to clear the rubbles and restore the channel by hand.

Marine Ecology

According to the additional three month coral monitoring at Site B2, Site C and Control Site (Apr to June 06) due to the coral damage incident happened on 26th Mar 06, no exceedance was recorded on corals. The quarterly coral monitoring will be resumed in September 2006 (this reporting month). Marine ecology was conducted on 8th and 9th September 2006 at Site B2, Site C and Control Site. A seawall had constructed at Site B2 as the landing point of the temporary barging point. The number of tagged corals at Site B2 was increased from 20 to 50 in April 2006, which included the original B11 to B-20 and newly

established B-21 to B-60. The distribution of these tagged corals was 20 to the north of the barge, 20 to the south of the barge, and 10 within the area of the barge.

In the present survey, most of these tagged corals at Site B2 were in similar conditions as in last monitoring (June 2006), but two tagged corals had been missing (B-59 & B-60) and B-42 was found upside down on the seabed. Mortality was also found on B-19. There were also damages (mortality and anchor damages) on two tagged corals at Site C (C-04 and C-10). The partial mortality of those colonies should not be considered as a consequence of the operation of the temporary barging point. It is considered that coral damages were caused by the vigorous waves action induced by the typhoon occurred between June to September 2006. The Control Site still remained similar conditions as during the Baseline Survey (no mortality, sedimentation or bleaching was found).

Landscaping & Visual

Landscape and visual monitoring and site audits were carried out on 6th and 20th September 2006. Vegetation clearance and site formation was being carried out. The Contractor shall take measures to improve the condition of damaged trees. Damaged trees next to administration building were still unprotected after being damaged by the adjacent construction activities. Tree T1014 was transplanted in this month and is in fair condition. All other transplanted trees are in fair condition. Mal-pruning of transplanted trees has not been rectified. Construction material was still stockpiled within tree protection zones. A statement on the cause of death of tree T925 recorded is still outstanding.

Environmental Site Auditing

Four weekly joint environmental site audits were carried out on 29th August, 5th, 12th and 21st September 2006, with the Engineer and Contractor's representatives. A monthly joint environmental site audit was carried out on 21st September 2006 by the Contractor's Representative, ET's representative and Independent Environmental Checker (IC(E)).

Environmental Non-conformance

Air Quality

No exceedance of 24-TSP was recorded at GCA B1.

Marine Water Quality

Two exceedances of turbidity and thirteen exceedances of SS on 4th, 9th, 12th and 14th September 2006 were recorded at KLW, M_Marsh, TTC, M_BP and KS.

M_Marsh and M_BP were considered project-related and the exceedances were mainly due to rainstorms. For TTC, KLW and KS, the exceedances were mainly due to the natural variation of marine water after rainstorm event (magnitude of the increase of SS and turbidity were similar to the control monitoring stations at M_A and M_B).

Freshwater Quality

Eleven exceedances of SS and thirteen exceedances of turbidity were recorded at F_DA, F_DB, F_DC and F_Inland Marsh. The exceedances recorded at Stream A were mainly attributed to runoff from filling area of Hole 17. The exceedances recorded at freshwater inland marsh were mainly attributed to runoff from Holes 1, 5-8 & 18 and silty water discharged from the wheel washing facility near to the Contractor's site office. The exceedances recorded at Streams A, B, C and freshwater inland marsh were considered project-related.

The exceedances at Stream B were mainly due to the natural variation and therefore they were considered not project-related. No silty runoff from construction of temporary crossing at Streams B1 and B2 were observed during sampling.

Exceedances were recorded at both upstream and downstream of Stream C after the haul road formation during this reporting month. As the upstream monitoring location (F_UC) of Stream C is located within the construction work area, it represents and becomes an impact monitoring station instead of control station. All exceedances were considered project-related.

All notifications of exceedances and the subsequent exceedance incident reports have been forwarded to the relevant parties.

For those considered project-related exceedances at freshwater and marine water, the Contractor was required to critically review the temporary drainage management plan and implement necessary improvement to prevent runoff from the construction site to the marine water and stream courses. The Contractor was also requested to rectify the situation as soon as possible. The water quality monitoring results revealed that the temporary drainage installed on site was insufficient and should be improved especially at those concern areas.

One environmental complaint was received from the golfers on 6 September 2006 about the dust generation from the construction site in this reporting period. No environmental summon was received in this reporting period.

Implementation Status of Environmental Mitigation Measures

The Contractor was reminded the following issues and to take actions if necessary:

- Water/modify the haul road during rock breaking, loading/unloading of dusty materials in order to minimize dust generation;
- Minimize the water quality impact when undertaking cut-and-fill works. It is important to provide sufficient temporary drainage at critical areas to confine, collect and provide proper treatment before discharging to marine water and stream courses to ensure that the water quality is complied with WPCO requirements;
- Minimize the exposed areas by controlling the vegetation clearance area. Vegetation should be kept in-situ as much as possible until works require at the construction areas;
- Provide mitigation measures to the large stockpiles located near Hole 9 & 18 to prevent silty runoff and dust generation;
- Minimize the cut-and-fill areas especially during wet seasons;
- Properly dispose of the vegetation stockpiles, general refuse and construction waste off-site;
- Strengthen the preventive/interim measures for avoiding silty runoff from the exposed areas to the low lying areas. More frequent maintenance of the silt fence is necessary;
- Enhance the wheel washing facility;
- Provide chemical storage areas on site;
- Provide temporary drainage at the temporary bridges;
- Provide treatment facilities especially at water sensitive areas before water discharges from construction site;
- Maintain the integrity of silt curtains and remove of settled silt within the silt curtain which have been installed outside the fresh water inland marsh, near Hole 2 and Hole 4;
- Commission the wastewater treatment plants;
- Remove of rocks at downstream A by hand; and
- Protect the retain trees with sufficient watering mainly located at the Administration Building.

Future Key Issues

Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:

- Minimize potential dust generation from activities on-site : bulk earthworks at Holes 10 to 16, concrete batching plant operation, exposed/bare slope areas/stockpiles and temporary haul roads;
- Resume archaeology watching brief at Holes 11, 12, 14, 15 & 16;
- Provide sufficient temporary drainage for construction temporary crossing at Streams B1, B2 and C;
- Carry out full scale remedial work for the contaminated soil at Hole 17 from Hole 18 (Hotspot L3);
- Implement sufficient and improve the temporary drainage system on site to prevent silty runoff discharging to marine and stream courses;
- Implement sufficient temporary drainage system before carrying out any newly exposed area;
- Carry out land formation works for the desalination plant near to the existing pier;
- Store chemicals/fuel and chemical waste/waste oil on site; and
- Dispose of construction wastes, vegetation and general refuse.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background of the Project

1.1.1 Black & Veatch (hereinafter called the "ET") was appointed by Hong Kong Jockey Club (hereinafter called the "Project Proponent") to undertake Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) for "Proposed Extension of Public Golf Course at Kau Sai Chau Island, Sai Kung" (hereinafter called the "Project"). Under the requirements of Section 4 of Environmental Permit EP-224/2005, EM&A programme as set out in the EM&A Manual is required to be implemented. In accordance with the EM&A Manual, environmental monitoring of air quality, water quality, terrestrial and marine ecology, landscape and visual, archaeology (watching brief) and land contamination are required for the Project.

1.1.2 This report summarises the environmental monitoring and audit works for the Project in September 2006 (from 25th August to 24th September 2006).

1.2 Purpose of the Report

1.2.1 This is the ninth EM&A report which summarizes the impact monitoring results and audit findings for the EM&A programme during the reporting period from **25th August to 24th September 2006**.

1.3 Structure of the Report

1.3.1 The structure of the report is shown in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1 Structure of the Report

Section		Description
1	Introduction	Details the scope and structure of the report
2	Project Information	Summarizes background and scope of the project, site description, project organization and contact details, construction programme, the construction works undertaken and the status of environmental permits/licenses during the reporting period.
3	Environmental Monitoring Requirement	Summarizes the monitoring parameters, programmes, methodology, frequency, location, action and limit levels, event action plans, environmental mitigation measures as recommended in the EIA report and relevant environmental requirements.
4	Implementation Status on Environmental Mitigation Measures	Summarizes the implementation of environmental protection measures during the reporting period.
5	Monitoring Results	Summarizes the monitoring results obtained in the reporting period.
6	Environmental Site Auditing	Summarizes the audit findings of the weekly site inspections undertaken within the reporting period.
7	Environmental Non-conformance	Summarizes any monitoring exceedance, environmental complaints and environmental summons within the reporting period.
8	Future Key Issues	Summarizes the impact forecast and monitoring schedule for the next three month (25 Sept – 24 Dec 2006).
9	Recommendations and Conclusions	Lists out any recommendations and provides an overall conclusion of the results and findings of the EM&A programme for the reporting period.

2. Project Information

2.1 Background

2.1.1 The Project comprises the following major components:

- Construction of the third 18-hole public golf course on the east side of the island, south of the existing golfing area;
- A new irrigation lake to collect surface runoff from the new 18-hole golf course. Water stored at the new irrigation lake can also be diverted to existing reservoir for tertiary treatment and recycling;
- A new desalination plant adjacent to the existing pier to serve as an additional irrigation water supply for the new golf course during dry season; and
- Expansion of existing administration and maintenance buildings.

2.1.2 The potential environmental impacts of the Project have been studied in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) report (EIAO Register No. AEIAR- 091/2005). The EIA was approved on 14 November 2005 under the EIAO. An Environmental Permit (EP-224/2005) was granted on 28 November 2005.

2.2 Site Description

2.2.1 A layout plan of the Project is provided in **Figure 1.1**.

2.3 Project Organization

2.3.1 Project organization and lines of communication are shown in **Figure 1.2**.

2.4 Construction Programme

2.4.1 The tentative construction programme for the Project is presented in **Annex A**. The construction works were commenced on 16 January 2006 and are scheduled to be completed by end of July 2007.

2.5 Status of Environmental Submission

2.5.1 A summary of the reporting requirement for compliance with EP conditions of the Project is listed in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 Summary of Compliance with EP Conditions

EP-224/2005	Environmental Permit Submission	Status	Remarks
2.3	Management organization of the main construction companies and/or any form of joint ventures associated with the construction of the Project.	Submitted	At least one week before the commencement of construction of the Project.
2.4	Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) submission. If land contamination is confirmed by the site investigation, submission of a Remediation Assessment	Submitted	Revised CAR and RAP was approved on 18 th August 2006. Full scale remediation work will be carried out at next reporting month. The Final Site Remediation Report (FSRR) will be prepared by the Contractor and submitted to EPD for record.

EP-224/2005	Environmental Permit Submission	Status	Remarks
	Plan (RAP) including a Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) is required.		
3.6	Detailed methodology for Coral Transplantation submission to the Director for approval.	In progress	At least one month before commencement of the Coral Transplantation.
4.1	EM&A Manual (revised)	Submitted	At least two weeks before commencement of construction of the Project.
4.3	Baseline Monitoring Report	Submitted	At least two weeks before commencement of construction of the Project
4.5	Monthly EM&A Report	Submitted	within 10 working days after the end of the reporting month
5.1	Set up a dedicated web site and notify the Director in writing the Internet address.	Completed	Within 6 weeks after the commencement of construction of the Project (http://www.kscgolf.com/ema/index.asp)
3.4	Variation of Environmental Permit for the construction of the temporary crossings at Stream B during wet season.	Completed	Variation of Environmental Permit was approved on 18 th August 2006. The revised registered EP was EP-224/2005/A.

2.6 Summary of EM&A Requirements

2.6.1 The EM&A programme requires environmental monitoring for air quality, water quality, terrestrial and marine ecology, landscape and visual, archaeology (watching brief) and land contamination. The EM&A requirements for each parameter are described in subsequent sections, including:

- All monitoring parameters;
- Action and Limit Levels for all environmental parameters;
- Event and Action Plans; and
- Environmental mitigation measures, as recommended in the project EIA final report.

2.6.2 A summary of impact EM&A requirements is presented in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Summary of Impact EM&A Requirements

Impacts	Parameters/descriptions	Locations	Frequencies	Duration
Air Quality	24-Hour TSP	1 Location	Once every 6 days	During Construction
	1-Hour TSP	1 Location	Three times in every 6 days	During Construction (As required when complaint received)
Water Quality	Dissolved Oxygen, Temperature, Turbidity, pH, Salinity and SS	9 marine and 7 freshwater locations	<u>First 3 months</u> 3 times a week, mid-ebb and mid-flood tides. If there is no exceedance occurs for the first 3 months, reduce to once per week.	During Construction
	Dissolved Oxygen, Temperature, Turbidity, pH, Salinity, SS, NO3-N, NO2-N, NH3-N, TP and selected pesticides.	9 marine and 7 freshwater locations	Once per week. If there is no exceedance occurs, monitoring frequency is subjected to change and shall be agreed with EPD.	During Construction: turf establishment period (permanent low flow drainage is not completed)
	Dissolved Oxygen, Temperature, Turbidity, pH, Salinity, SS, NO3-N, NO2-N, NH3-N, TP, Chl-a and selected pesticides.	9 marine and 6 freshwater locations	A 2-year of monitoring period for the operation phase is proposed. Monitoring should be carried out on bi-weekly basis for the first 12 months, after when the frequency will be reviewed by EPD.	During Operation
	Dissolved Oxygen, Temperature, Turbidity, pH, Salinity, SS, NO3-N, NO2-N, NH3-N, TP, Chl-a and selected pesticides	8 marine locations	Additional water quality monitoring shall be carried out after heavy rain storm or when there is an overflow event from the reservoir, irrigation buffer lake or detention ponds/tanks.	During Construction and Operation
Terrestrial Ecology	Monitoring aquatic fauna	Streams B, C & D	Once a month	During Construction
	Environmental Site Inspection mainly on intact of buffer zones	Streams A, B and C	Once a week	During Construction

Proposed Extension of Public Golf Course at Kau Sai Chau Island, Sai Kung
Monthly EM&A Report

Impacts	Parameters/descriptions	Locations	Frequencies	Duration
Marine Ecology	Transplanted corals	Site D2	Quarterly for one year after transplantation	During construction
	Natural corals	Site C, Site B2, Site D2, and the Control Site.	<p>For Site D2 and the Control Site: Weekly at the first two weeks of dredging works for the desalination plant pipelines. If no exceedance was recorded, the monitoring schedule would be changed to biweekly till the pipeline construction works are finished.</p> <p>For Site C, B2 and the Control Site: Monthly for the first three months of the construction phase. If no exceedance was recorded, the monitoring schedule would be changed to quarterly during the rest of the construction phase.</p>	During Construction
		Site C, Site D2 and the Control Site.	First three months would be monthly conducted during the first two years of the operation phase. If no exceedance was recorded, the monitoring schedule would be changed to semi-annually, i.e. once in dry season and once in wet season.	During Operation
	Seagrass bed	Site D3, and at Site D2 if seagrasses were found during the baseline monitoring.	Weekly during the first two weeks of dredging works, and then biweekly till the pipeline construction works are finished.	During Construction
		Site D3, and at Site D2 if seagrasses were found during the baseline monitoring.	<p>During the first two years of the operation phase.</p> <p>The monitoring schedule during the first three months would be monthly. After that, the monitoring schedule would be changed to semi-annually, i.e. once in dry season and once in wet season.</p>	During Operation
Landscape and Visual	Audits to ensure effective implementation of mitigation measures	Project area and at visual sensitive receivers	Auditing inspections and reporting shall be undertaken once every two weeks of the construction phase and once every two months of the operation phase.	During Construction and Operation
Archaeology (Watching Brief)	Monitor archaeological potential sites at major cut areas	Hole 2, Hole 11, Hole 12, Hole 14, Hole 15 and Hole 16.	The archaeologist should keep the AMO informed of the progress of watching brief. The archaeologist should submit progress reports every 3 months during the programme of the watching brief.	During Construction
Land Contamination	Total Sulphur and Total Lead	Locations 2, 3, 6, 7 & 8	One month before commencement of work at the identified 5 hotspots	During Construction
General Site Conditions	Environmental Site Inspection	Works areas and areas affected by works	Periodically (weekly basis)	During Construction

3. Environmental Monitoring Requirements

3.1 Air Quality

Monitoring Requirement

- 3.1.1 24-hour TSP monitoring was carried out at GCA B1 to monitor the construction dust impact level in this reporting period.
- 3.1.2 The established Action/Limit Levels (AL levels) for the 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring works are summarized in Table 3.1 and Table 3.2.

Table 3.1 Action and Limit Levels for 1-hour TSP

Location	Description	Action Level	Limit Level
GCA B1	Bungalow A adjacent to Kau Sai Chau Public Golf Course Administration Building	277.2 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	500 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$

Note: The action levels for GCA B1 are developed based on baseline monitoring result.

Table 3.2 Action and Limit Levels for 24-hour TSP

Location	Description	Action Level	Limit Level
GCA B1	Bungalow A adjacent to Kau Sai Chau Public Golf Course Administration Building	187.4 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$	260 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$

Note: The action levels for GCA B1 are developed based on baseline monitoring result.

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Programme

- 3.1.3 The monitoring parameters and frequency are summarized in Table 3.3. The monitoring programme for the reporting period is shown in **Annex B**.

Table 3.3 TSP Monitoring Parameter and Frequency

Parameter	Frequency
24-hour TSP	Once every 6 days
1-hour TSP	3 times every 6 days (as required in case of complaints)

Monitoring Locations

- 3.1.4 In accordance with the EM&A Manual, one monitoring station (GCA B1) was selected and shown in **Figure 3.1**.

Monitoring Equipment

- 3.1.5 24-hour and 1-hour TSP (in case of complaints received) were performed using High Volume Samplers (HVS) and measured in-situ respectively. 24-hour TSP level of samples were collected using filters and High Volume Sampler and the collected samples were determined by a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory upon receipt of the samples and 1-hour TSP level will be performed in-situ.

- 3.1.6 High volume samplers (HVS - Model GS-2310 Accu-vol) complete with the appropriate sampling inlets were installed for 24-hour TSP sampling. The HVS is composed of a motor, a filter holder, a flow controller and a sampling inlet and its performance specification complies with USEPA Standard Title 40, Code of Federation Regulations Chapter 1 (Part 50 Appendix B). A portable dust meter was used for the 1-hour TSP monitoring. Table 3.4 summarises the equipment used.

Table 3.4 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

Equipment	Model
HVS Sampler	GS 2310 Accu-vol system
Calibrator	GMW 25
1-hour TSP Dust Meter	Laser Dust Monitor – Model LD-1 (L)

Monitoring Methodology and Calibration Details

24-hour TSP Monitoring

(i) Field Monitoring, Operation & Analytical Procedures

- 3.1.7 Operating/analytical procedures for the operation of HVS are as follows. The sampler was placed on a horizontal platform with appropriate supporting structure such that:
- the filter was at least 1.3 meters above ground;
 - no two samplers were placed less than 2 metres apart;
 - the distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as buildings, were at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sampler;
 - a minimum of 2 metres separation from walls, parapets and penthouses were required for the rooftop samplers;
 - a minimum of 2 metres separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally was provided;
 - airflow around the sampler was unrestricted;
 - no furnaces or incineration flues were operating near the sampler;
 - the sampler was more than 20 metres from the dripline; and
 - any wire fence and gate to protect the sampler, did not cause any obstruction during monitoring.
- 3.1.8 Prior to the commencement of the dust sampling, the flow rate of the high volume sampler was properly set (between 1.1 m³/min. and 1.4 m³/min.) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in USEPA Standard Title 40, CFR Part 50.
- 3.1.9 For TSP sampling, fibreglass filters (G810) were used [Note: these filters have a collection efficiency of > 99% for particles of 0.3 mm diameter].
- 3.1.10 The power supply was checked to ensure the sampler worked properly.
- 3.1.11 On sampling, the sampler was operated for 5 minutes to establish thermal equilibrium before placing any filter media at the designated air monitoring station.
- 3.1.12 The filter holding frame was then removed by loosening the four nuts and carefully a weighted and conditioned filter was centered with the stamped number upwards, on a supporting screen.

- 3.1.13 The filter was aligned on the screen so that the gasket formed an air-tight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then the filter holding frame was tightened to the filter holder with swing bolts. The applied pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edges.
- 3.1.14 The shelter lid was closed and secured with the aluminum strip.
- 3.1.15 The timer was then programmed. Information was recorded on the record sheeting, which included the starting time, the weather condition, and the filter number (the initial weight of the filter paper can be found out by using the filter number).
- 3.1.16 After sampling, the filter was transferred from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealable plastic bag and sent to the laboratory for weighing. The elapsed time was also recorded.
- 3.1.17 Before weighing, all filters were conditioned for 24 hours before weighing under temperature of $25^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the relative humidity (RH) $< 50\% \pm 5\%$, preferably 40%. The HOKLAS laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd) has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes.

(ii) Maintenance

- 3.1.18 Proper maintenance would be provided for the HVS as described below:
- 3.1.19 The HVS motors and their accessories have been properly maintained. Appropriate maintenance such as routine motor brushes replacement (time interval for replacement is about 500 hours) and electrical wiring checking have been conducted to ensure that the equipment and necessary power supply were in good working condition.
- 3.1.20 Initial calibration of HVS was conducted upon installation of equipment. The subsequent calibration would be provided at 2-month intervals using GMW-25 Calibration Kit.

1-hour TSP Monitoring

(i) Measuring Procedures

- 3.1.21 The measuring procedures of the 1-hour dust meter have been in accordance with the Manufacturer's Instruction Manual as follows:
- Set POWER to "ON", push BATTERY button, make sure that the meter's indicator is in the range with a red line and allow the instrument to stand for about 3 minutes (Then, the air sampling inlet has been capped).
 - Push the knob at MEASURE position.
 - Push "O-ADJ" button. (Then meter's indication is 0).
 - Push the knob at SENSI ADJ position and set the meter's indication to S value described on the Test Report using the trimmer for SENSI ADJ.
 - Pull out the knob and return it to MEASURE position.
 - Push "START" button.
 - All measurement procedures in section 2.3 of the approved EM&A Manual are followed during the reporting period.

(ii) Maintenance

- 3.1.22 The 1-hour TSP meter would be checked at 3 month intervals and calibrated at 1-year intervals throughout all stages of the air quality baseline monitoring.

Event and Action Plans

3.1.23 The Event and Action Plan (EAP) for air quality monitoring is presented in **Annex C**.

3.2 Water Quality

Monitoring Requirement

3.2.1 Water quality monitoring was conducted in accordance with the EM&A Manual. Tables 3.5 & 3.6 show the established Action/Limit Levels for the water environmental monitoring parameters.

Table 3.5 Derived Summaries of Action and Limit Levels for Marine Water Quality

Parameters	Location	Action	Location	Limit
DO (Surface & Middle)	FCZ	6.0 mg/L	FCZ	5.3 mg/L
	All except FCZ	4.9 mg/L	All except FCZ	4.6 mg/L
DO (Bottom)	All	3.7 mg/L	All	3.4 mg/L
pH (depth-averaged)		N/A	All	6.5 - 8.5
SS (Depth-averaged)☆	FCZ	4.5 mg/L	FCZ	5.6 mg/L
	All except FCZ	6.1 mg/L	All except FCZ	10.6 mg/L
SS (Depth-averaged) Dredging for submarine pipelines⊕	M_RO1	6.1 mg/L	M_RO1	10.6 mg/L
Turbidity (Tby) (depth-averaged) ☆	FCZ	2.9 NTU☼	FCZ	3.9 NTU☼
	All except FCZ	3.3 NTU☼	All except FCZ	6.2 NTU☼
Ammonia Nitrogen (depth-averaged)	FCZ	0.02 mg/L	FCZ	0.03 mg/L
	All except FCZ	0.05 mg/L Δ	All except FCZ	0.05 mg/L Δ
Nitrate Nitrogen (depth-averaged)	FCZ	0.08 mg/L	FCZ	0.09 mg/L
	All except FCZ	0.09mg/L Δ	All except FCZ	0.09 mg/L Δ
Nitrite Nitrogen (depth-averaged)	FCZ	0.02 mg/L θ	FCZ	0.02 mg/L θ
	All except FCZ	0.02 mg/L	All except FCZ	0.04 mg/L
TIN (depth-averaged)	FCZ	0.12 mg/L	FCZ	0.14 mg/L
	All except FCZ	0.16 mg/L	All except FCZ	0.18 mg/L
Total Phosphorus (depth-averaged)	All	0.09 mg/L Δ	All	0.09 mg/L Δ

Remarks:

☆ : Action and limit levels are subjected to review especially for wet season throughout the construction phase of the project.

⊕ : Action and limit levels are subjected to review before the dredging works.

☼ : All are based on EM&A baseline monitoring data due to marked difference between EPD turbidity data and those from the baseline survey.

Δ : For nutrient monitoring (except NO₂-N) at non-FCZ stations, the trigger level has made reference to the existing golf course guideline values. The guideline value of NO₂-N is below the current detection limit of 0.01mg/L and thus not used.

θ : The same action and limit level of 0.02 mg/L is determined from the EM&A baseline data as 78% of the NO₂-N data are ≤ 0.01 mg/L and all remaining 22% equal to 0.02 mg/L.

FCZ including fish culture zones of Kai Lung Wan, Tai Tau Chau and Kau Sai

All except FCZ including remaining impact monitoring station of M_RO1, M_Marsh, M_BP and M_Coral.

Control monitoring locations: M_A & M_B

Table 3.6 Derived Summaries of Action and Limit Levels for Freshwater Water Quality

Parameters	Location	Action	Location	Limit
DO (mid-depth)		6.3 mg/L	All	4 mg/L ξ
pH (mid-depth)		N/A	All	6.0 - 9.0
SS (mid-depth) ☆	All	3.8 mg/L or 120% of upstream control station's SS at the same tide of the same day	All	8 mg/L or 130% of upstream control station's SS at the same tide of the same day
Turbidity (Tby) (mid-depth) ☆	All	3.1 NTU or 120% of upstream control station's Tby at the same tide of the same day	All	4 NTU or 130% of upstream control station's Tby at the same tide of the same day
Ammonia Nitrogen (mid-depth)		N/A	All	0.01 mg/L
Nitrate Nitrogen (mid-depth)	All	0.10 mg/L	All	0.11 mg/L
Nitrite Nitrogen (mid-depth)		N/A	All	0.01 mg/L
TIN (mid-depth)	All	0.12 mg/L	All	0.13 mg/L
Total Phosphorus (mid-depth)		N/A	All	0.02 mg/L

Remarks:

☆ : Action and limit levels are subjected to review especially for wet season.

Freshwater monitoring locations: F_UA, F_DA, F_UB, F_DB, F_UC, F_DC and F_Inland Marsh

As most of the freshwater samples were reported of NH₃-N, NO₂-N levels below the detection limit of 0.01 mg/L, limit level is set at 0.01 mg/L. Similarly for TP, a limit level of 0.02 mg/L (the detection limit of TP) is imposed.

ξ : Water Quality Objectives of the Port Shelter

Monitoring Parameters, Frequency and Programme

3.2.2 For marine water quality, measurements shall be taken at both mid-flood and mid-ebb tides and at three water depths (1 m below water surface, mid-depth and 1 m above sea bed, except where the water depth is less than 6 m, in which case the mid-depth station may be omitted). Should the water depth be less than 3 m, only the mid-depth station will be monitored.

3.2.3 For the stream course, measurements shall be taken at mid-water depth.

3.2.4 The water quality parameters which need to be monitored are as follows:

- Marine water quality - dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, turbidity, suspended solids (SS), pH and salinity
 - Freshwater water quality - dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, turbidity, suspended solids (SS), pH and salinity
- 3.2.5 Additional marine and freshwater water quality monitoring parameters for the impact monitoring during construction include nitrate nitrogen (NO₃-N), nitrite nitrogen (NO₂-N), ammonia nitrogen (NH₃-N), total phosphate (TP) and selected pesticides.
- 3.2.6 The ET Leader shall propose the additional monitoring parameters for approval by IC(E), Engineer, EPD and AFCD, and shall submit such information for approval at least 2 weeks before the turf establishment period.
- 3.2.7 Additional water quality monitoring at Tai Tau Chau FCZ (TTC), Kai Lung Wan FCZ (KLW), Kau Sai FCZ (KS), downstream of the existing marsh (M_Marsh), marine water of Port Shelter (M_Coral), existing reservoir (F_Inland M) and Control stations (M_A and M_B) shall be carried out after heavy rain storm or when there is an overflow event from the reservoir, irrigation buffer lake or detention ponds/tanks. The heavy rain storm shall be defined when there is an amber/red/black rainstorm warning signal issued by the Hong Kong Observatory. The water sample shall be taken within 24 hours after the black/red/amber rainstorm warning signal is cancelled. Please refer to revised EM&A manual for the sampling condition requirement after a heavy rain storm event occurs. The monitoring parameters shall include dissolved oxygen, temperature, turbidity, suspended solids, pH and salinity. Additional parameters shall be the same as stated in paragraphs 3.2.5-3.2.6.

Monitoring Frequency

- 3.2.8 The monitoring parameters and frequency are summarized in Table 3.7. The monitoring programme for the reporting period is shown in **Annex B**.

Table 3.7 Water Quality Monitoring Parameter, Frequency and Locations

Parameters	Frequency	Location
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	3 days per week	<u>Marine Water</u> Fish culture zone stations: TTC, KLW, KS
Temperature (°C)		Control stations: M_A, M_B
Turbidity (NTU)		Impact stations: M_BP, M_RO1, M_Marsh, M_Coral
pH		
Salinity (ppt)		<u>Freshwater Water</u> Stream A (F_UA, F_DA) Stream B (F_UB, F_DB) Stream C (F_UC, F_DC) Inland Marsh (F_Inland_M)
Suspended Solids (mg/L)		

Monitoring Locations

3.2.9 The water quality monitoring locations for marine and freshwater (**Figure 3.2**) are summarized in Table 3.8.

Table 3.8 Water Quality Monitoring Locations during Construction Phase

Identification Number	Location	Co-ordinates		Approx. Water Depth	No. of Depth
<i>Marine Water (9 stations)</i>		latitude	longitude		
TTC	Tai Tau Chau Fish Culture Zone	22° 22' 03.7"	114° 19' 19.6"	9.5 m	3
KLW	Kai Lung Wan Fish Culture Zone	22° 22' 10.6"	114° 18' 01.4"	13 m	3
KS	Kau Sai Fish Culture Zone	22° 20' 26.5"	114° 18' 59.9"	11 m	3
M_BP	Temporary barging point	22° 21' 50.6"	114° 19' 16.7"	9.6 m	3
M_RO1	Desalination plant south of the existing pier	22° 21' 51.8"	114° 18' 17.7"	5 m	2
M_Marsh	Discharge point at the existing marsh	22° 22' 19.8"	114° 19' 05.4"	7.7 m	3
M_Coral	Marine water of Port Shelter	22° 21' 21.3"	114° 19' 42.7"	10.2m	3
M_A	Water Control Station of Port Shelter	22° 22' 51.3"	114° 18' 34.5"	7.5 m	3
M_B	Water Control Station of Port Shelter	22° 20' 26.4"	114° 20' 11.8"	16.5 m	3
<i>Fresh Water (7 stations)</i>					
F_UA	Upstream and downstream of stream A	22° 21' 32.3"	114° 19' 06.5"	-	1
F_DA		22° 21' 33.5"	114° 19' 06.8"		1
F_UB	Upstream and downstream of stream B	22° 21' 23.9"	114° 19' 16.1"	-	1
F_DB		22° 21' 27.2"	114° 19' 16.0"		1
F_UC	Upstream and downstream of stream C	22° 21' 14.8"	114° 19' 26.4"	-	1
F_DC		22° 21' 03.5"	114° 19' 32.0"		1
F_Inland M	Downstream of the existing marsh (Inland)	22° 22' 17.9"	114° 18' 59.1"	-	1

Monitoring Equipment

3.2.10 The equipment listed below shall be supplied by the ET and approved by the IC(E) and the Engineer for water quality monitoring.

Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature Measuring Equipment

3.2.11 The instrument shall be a portable and weatherproof DO measuring instrument complete with cable and sensor, and use a DC power source. The equipment shall be capable of measuring:

- dissolved oxygen levels in the range of 0 - 20 mg L⁻¹ and 0 - 200% saturation; and
- a temperature of 0 - 45 degrees Celsius.

3.2.12 It shall have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. Sufficient stocks of spare electrodes and cables shall be available for replacement where

necessary. (For example, YSI model 59 meter, YSI 5739 probe, YSI 5795A submersible stirrer with reel and cable or an approved similar instrument).

- 3.2.13 Should salinity compensation not be built-in in the DO equipment, in-situ salinity shall be measured to calibrate the DO equipment prior to each DO measurement.

Turbidity Measurement Instrument

- 3.2.14 Turbidity shall be measured in situ by the nephelometric method. The instrument shall be portable and weatherproof turbidity measuring instrument using a DC power source complete with cable, sensor and comprehensive operation manuals. It shall have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0 - 1000 NTU (for example, Hach model 2100P or an approved similar instrument). The cable shall not be less than 25m in length. The meter shall be calibrated in order to establish the relationship between NTU units and the levels of suspended solids.

Suspended Solids

- 3.2.15 A water sample at least 2.5L in capacity with messenger and using a 10m line should be collected. Samples should be submitted to HOKLAS accredited laboratory as soon as possible for gravimetric analysis for suspended.

Sampler

- 3.2.16 A water sampler is required. It shall comprise a transparent PVC cylinder, with a capacity of not less than 2 litres, which can be effectively sealed with latex cups at both ends. The sampler shall have a positive latching system to keep it open and prevent premature closure until released by a messenger when the sampler is at the selected water depth (for example, Kahlsico Water Sampler or an approved similar instrument).

Water Depth Detector

- 3.2.17 A portable, battery-operated echo sounder shall be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station. This unit can either be hand held or affixed to the bottom of the work boat, if the same vessel is to be used throughout the monitoring programme.

Salinity

- 3.2.18 A portable salinometer capable of measuring salinity in the range of 0 - 40 parts per thousand (ppt) shall be provided for measuring salinity of the water at each monitoring location.

pH

- 3.2.19 The instrument shall consist of a potentiometer, a glass electrode, a reference electrode and a temperature-compensating device. It shall be readable to 0.1pH in a range of 0 to 14. Standard buffer solutions of at least pH 7 and pH 10 shall be used for calibration of the instrument before and after use. Details of the method shall comply with APHA, 19th ed. 4500-HTB.

Flow Rate Meter

- 3.2.20 A portable, battery-operated flow meter should be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring location and record in m³/s. A hand held or meter fixed to the underside of the survey boat may be used.

Sample Containers and Storage

- 3.2.21 Water samples for laboratory analysis shall be stored in high density polythene bottles with no preservative added, packed in ice (cooled to 4°C without being frozen) and delivered to the laboratory and analysed as soon as possible after collection. Sufficient volume of samples shall be collected to achieve the required detection limit.

Monitoring Position Equipment

- 3.2.22 A hand-held or boat-fixed type digital Differential Global Positioning System (DGPS) with way point bearing indication or other equipment instrument of similar accuracy, shall be provided and used during marine water monitoring to ensure the monitoring vessel is at the correct location before taking measurements.

Monitoring Methodology and Calibration Details

- 3.2.23 Dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, turbidity, pH and salinity were measured in situ at the designated water quality monitoring stations. General observation, weather conditions, with the sampling time, date and location were marked on the field record sheet.
- 3.2.24 Water samples were taken from each monitoring station for laboratory analysis. The sample identification number, sampling location, date, time, project name and analyses were required.
- 3.2.25 The samples were placed in a cooler with ice (to 4°C without being frozen) and kept away from sunlight. Samples were submitted to a Hong Kong Laboratory Accreditation Scheme (HOKLAS) or other international accredited laboratory for analysis within 24 hours of sampling.

Calibration of In-Situ Instruments

- 3.2.26 All in situ monitoring instruments were checked, calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme before use and subsequently re-calibrated at three monthly intervals throughout all stages of the water quality monitoring programme. Responses of sensors and electrodes were checked with certified standard solutions before each use. Wet bulb calibration for a DO meter were carried out before measurement at each monitoring location.

Laboratory Analysis

- 3.2.27 All laboratory work were carried out by ALS Technichem Pty Ltd (HOKLAS accredited laboratory). Water samples were collected at the monitoring and control stations for carrying out the laboratory determinations. The determination work will start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. The analysis shall follow the standard methods according to APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th Edition, or an equivalent method approved by EPD.

Table 3.9 Analytical Methods to be applied to Water Quality Samples

Determinant	Standard Method	Reporting Limit
Suspended Solids	APHA 2540 D	2 mg/L
Nitrate Nitrogen	APHA 4500-NO ₃ ⁻	0.01 mg/L
Nitrite Nitrogen	APHA 4500-NO ₂ ⁻	0.01 mg/L
Ammonia Nitrogen	APHA 4500-NH ₃ (D)	0.01 mg/L
Total phosphorus	ASTM D515-88B	0.02 mg/L*
Chlorophyll a	APHA 10200 H2 &3	0.5 µg/L

Remarks: *After review baseline data, the detection limit report will be revised to 0.02 mg/L.

QA/QC Procedure

- 3.2.28 ALS Technichem Pty Ltd. has comprehensive quality assurance and quality control programmes. For QA/QC procedures of parameters, one duplicate sample was analysed for every batch of 20 samples as required by HOKLAS.

Event and Action Plans

- 3.2.29 The Event and Action Plan (EAP) for water quality monitoring is presented in **Annex C**.

3.3 Ecology

Introduction

- 3.3.1 The marine and terrestrial ecological monitoring surveys for the ecological EM&A were conducted in accordance with the EM&A manual.
- 3.3.2 As stipulated in the EM&A Manual, the ecological monitoring surveys for terrestrial ecology would be conducted monthly during the construction phase. Monitoring survey would consist of aquatic fauna survey. While the majority of the Project Area would be subject to site formation, natural streams would be partially or fully preserved and protected by buffer zones, and therefore would constitute the primary target of the terrestrial ecological monitoring. Special attention should thus be paid to ecologically sensitive streams to ensure minimum damage to existing vegetation and streams. The purpose of the monitoring survey was to check the conditions of the stream habitat and the associated aquatic fauna communities.
- 3.3.3 While the ecological monitoring surveys for marine ecology included coral monitoring at both the eastern and western coasts of Kau Sai Chau Island. The coral monitoring at the western coast would be conducted concurrently with the dredging works which has yet to conduct, and therefore had not been commenced. The coral monitoring at the eastern Kau Sai Chau would be monthly for the first three months of the construction phase, and if no exceedance was recorded, the monitoring schedule would be changed to quarterly during the rest of the construction phase. As a coral damage incident was recorded in March 2006, the monthly monitoring was extended for another three months from April 2006 to June 2006. No exceedance was recorded during these three months, the monitoring schedule would be changed to quarterly after that till the end of the construction phase. Monitoring survey would consist of checking tagged corals at both impact sites and control site. The purpose of the monitoring survey was to check the conditions of the tagged corals and the impact sites.

Ecological Mitigation Measures and Implementations

- 3.3.4 Ecological mitigation measures to be implemented during the construction phase include the following:
- Establishment of buffer zones for the natural stream courses during both construction phase.
 - Provision of temporary bypass channels or pipes during construction phase for stream courses subject to pipe culverting.
 - Protection of water quality of the natural stream courses and temporary bypass channels or pipes.
 - Transplantation of coral colonies within the dredging area for the desalination plant prior to the dredging works.
 - Avoidance of corals when the anchoring points are deployed, and to shift the floating temporary barging point to the location with least corals within the mapping area.
 - Regular site audit of ecological mitigation measures and good site practice.

Monitoring Frequency and Schedule

Terrestrial Ecology

- 3.3.5 As reported in the EIA Report, there were four perennial natural streams (Streams A-D) within the Assessment Area for the EIA Study. Streams A, B & C were located within the Project Area, while Stream D was outside the Project Areas and acted as the main stream draining the western part of the Assessment Area. Buffer Zone would be established for the three streams within the Project Area along their partial length (Stream A) or full length (Streams B & C) (**Figure 3.3**). Moreover, Streams B, C & D would be monitored for aquatic fauna monthly during the construction phase. Monitoring on the implementation of the mitigation measures for stream protection, the effectiveness of stream buffer zones, and the aquatic fauna in streams would be conducted during the entire construction phase.
- 3.3.6 The objectives of the monitoring survey are to check the status of *Caridina trifasciata* and *Nanhaipotamon hongkongensis*. The surveys covered natural stream courses within the assessment area (Streams A to D), and aquatic fauna were studied by various sampling methods depending upon site conditions. Methods included direct observation, active searching, and sample collection using hand-nets. Hand nets were used to collect swimming organisms such as shrimps and fish. Where necessary boulders on the stream beds were overturned to locate aquatic organisms such as crabs. Aquatic species encountered was recorded, with special attention to rare or protected species.

Marine Ecology

- 3.3.7 As required in the EM&A Manual, prior to the commencement of all construction works, a baseline survey of natural corals were conducted in December 2005. At each of the Site C, Site B2, Site D2 and a Control Site near the AFCD's Coral Buoy at Sharp Island (**Figure 3.4**), 20 natural coral colonies in good conditions (i.e. generally intact and no sign of bleaching) and significant sizes (preferably over 20 cm in diameter) were selected and tagged. Each of the tagged coral colonies was identified to species level and their conditions, in terms of percentages of survival, sedimentation and bleaching, were recorded. Each coral was attached with a plastic label with assigned number and then photographed. The species and the size of each tagged corals were also recorded. The species of corals to have been tagged included the following 15 species: *Cyphastrea serailia*, *Favia speciosa*, *Favites abdita*, *Favites pentagona*, *Goniastrea aspera*, *Goniopora columna*, *Hydnophora exesa*, *Leptastrea pruinosa*, *Lithophyllon undulatum*, *Pavona decussate*, *Platygyra acuta*, *Platygyra carnosus*, *Plesiastrea versipora*, *Psammocora superficialis*, and *Turbinaria peltata*. All tagged corals were in good conditions during the baseline survey, without significant sign of bleaching or being covered by sediments, and therefore were all recommended as the monitored coral colonies (all 80 tagged corals, 20 from each site). The seagrass beds in Site D3 were also surveyed for their extent, coverage percentage and health conditions during the baseline survey. The results of the baseline survey has presented in the Baseline Monitoring Report. The original 20 tagged corals at Site B2 were re-organised in April 2006, with B-11 to B-20 retained, but 40 new tagged corals (B-21 to B-60) were established. The number of tagged corals at Site B2 was therefore increased from 20 nos. to 50 nos. The baseline conditions of these newly tagged corals (40 nos.) were presented in the monthly monitoring report of April 2006.
- 3.3.8 As the dredging works for the desalination plant had not been commenced, the impact sites to be monitored in this monitoring survey were Site B2 and Site C (impact sites on the eastern Kau Sai Chau Island for the new golf course) only, while Site D2 and Site D3 (impact sites on the western Kau Sai Chau Island for desalination plant) were not required in this survey. The coral transplantation, which should be conducted prior to the commencement of dredging works, had

not been performed. The monitoring on transplanted corals on the bedrock at Site D2 (see **Figure 3.5**) therefore was not needed in this survey.

- 3.3.9 The schedule for the impact sites on the eastern Kau Sai Chau Island during construction would be monthly in the first three months of the construction programme, and if no exceedance was recorded then quarterly till the end of the construction. As coral damage incident was reported in Month Three of the construction programme, AFCD requested the monthly monitoring should be extended to cover another three months (April, May and June 2006). As no exceedance was recorded during these three months, the monitoring schedule would be changed to quarterly after that till the end of the construction phase and will be resumed in September 2006.
- 3.3.10 During the weekly site inspection, ET also monitored and audited the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures for terrestrial and marine ecology. Monitoring locations for ecology are shown in **Figures 3.3 – 3.5**. The monitoring programme for the reporting period is shown in **Annex B**.

Event and Action Plans

- 3.3.11 The Event and Action Plan (EAP) for ecology monitoring is presented in **Annex C**.

3.4 Landscape and Visual

- 3.4.1 The EIA concluded that the landscape and visual impacts associated with the construction of the third golf course are anticipated to be acceptable with mitigation. In order to ensure that the effective management and implementation of landscape mitigation measures developed and defined in the EIA, the ET conducted regular site inspections of the construction work sites.
- 3.4.2 Auditing inspections and reporting are undertaken once every two weeks of the construction phase. The effectiveness of the mitigation works has been audited in order to ensure impact reduction levels are achieved as described in the EIA report for this monitoring month. The monitoring programme for the reporting period is shown in **Annex B**.

3.5 Archaeology (Watching Brief)

Introduction

- 3.5.1 The archeological impact assessment conducted in the EIA concluded that some potential for archaeological material remains at the Wan Chai Archaeological Site and a watching brief is recommended during the construction phase.
- 3.5.2 A watching brief is a process whereby a qualified and licensed archaeologist monitors the excavation works during the construction phase in areas identified (and agreed with the Antiquities and Monuments Office (AMO)) to be of archaeological potential.
- 3.5.3 The archaeologist conducting the watching brief should obtain a licence prior to commencement of works as stipulated in Section 12 of the Antiquities and Monuments Ordinance (Cap. 53). The licence was granted on 22nd December 2005.

Monitoring Location

- 3.5.4 The monitoring locations include Hole 2, Hole 11, Hole 12, Hole 14, Hole 15 & Hole 16. The monitoring locations are present in **Figure 3.6**.

Monitoring Frequency

- 3.5.5 A total of 18 days of monitoring is considered as minimum, and additional arrangement for watching brief should be made in consultation with AMO in case significant archaeological findings are unearthed in the course of excavation work.

Progress Report

- 3.5.6 Archaeologist should submit progress reports every 3 months during the programme of the watching brief.
- 3.5.7 A summary table for categories of archaeological find and recommended action is presented in **Annex C**.

3.6 Land Contamination

Potential Areas Recommended for Further Investigation

- 3.6.1 Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) shall be submitted to EPD for approval before site investigation. If land contamination is confirmed by the site investigation, submission of a Remediation Assessment Plan (RAP) including a Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) is required. Potential 5 land contamination hotspots are presented in **Figure 3.7**.

4. Implementation Status on Environmental Protection Requirements

- 4.1.1 Major construction works of the third golf course were (i) vegetation clearance at Hole 11, 12 and 16, (ii) major filling work at Holes 1 & 17, (iii) drainage system installation at Hole 7 was completed and Hole 5 was on-going, (iv) gravity drain from Lake 1D to existing reservoir was in progress, (v) rock breaking activities were carried out mainly at Holes 6, 10 and 18 and (vi) construction of the permanent bridge no.5 at the downstream of freshwater inland marsh. Sheet piles have been installed at both sides of bridge abutment.
- 4.1.2 The weather is approaching to dry season. The Contractor concentrates more on the dust suppression mitigation measures than the silty runoff impact to water sensitive receivers. According to the approved Temporary Drainage Management Plan, installation of temporary drainage is still required on site during the dry season. Silt fence was implemented along the site boundary (major component of the temporary drainage system) for most of the newly exposed areas once after vegetation clearance was completed. However, maintenance frequency of the silt fence was not satisfactory. Most of the formerly installed silt fence were collapsed and not installed properly and recorded during the site audit. The Contractor was reminded to rectify the situation to prevent silty runoff to the water sensitive areas. Potential heavy rain(s) could occur during the dry season.
- 4.1.3 The wheel washing facility provided on site was still not effective to mitigate the silty water discharge since the last two months, silty runoff was observed from this area to the freshwater inland marsh for all site audits. The sewage treatment plant was started to operate at the end of May 2006. No approved/valid water discharge licence(s) for this project was submitted by the Contractor for record.
- 4.1.4 For dust suppression, the Contractor was providing mainly at Hole 6 (with water sprayer) during rock breaking activities. The water source was mainly pumped from the downstream of the fresh water inland marsh which could dry up during the dry season. The Contractor has already successfully applied water supply from WSD one month ago and the water supply outlet is located mainly at Hole 18. The slope of the haul road to Hole 18 was very steep, water trucks were not able to drive up in order to fill up their water tanks. However, no diversion of the water source to other areas for dust suppression was done by the Contractor.
- 4.1.5 Dust suppression measures for loading/unloading activities, rough shaping and haul road (truck traffic) were insufficient. Only three watering trucks were provided on site for the dust suppression at haul road mainly. According to the site observation and air quality results, it demonstrated that the provided mitigation measures on site were insufficient for dust suppression. Heavy dust emissions were observed at the road between the existing administration buildings to the construction site which could highly affect the golfers when playing near to the practice green and staffs working near to those areas.
- 4.1.6 Hydroseeding was observed at part of stockpile near Hole 17, stockpile at Hole 18 was covered by tarpaulin but no mitigation measure provided for the stockpile at Hole 9. Insufficient watering to the hydroseeded areas led to poor growth to minimize the dust generation and silty runoff properly.
- 4.1.7 Vegetation stockpile, general refuse and construction waste stockpiles were temporary stored on site for long time without proper disposal. No chemical storage area was available on site since the start of this project. No mobile toilets were available on site at remote area to the site office.
- 4.1.8 No dredging work has been carried out near to the existing pier for the desalination plant pipelines. Summary of implementation status is provided in **Annex D**.

5. Monitoring Results

5.1 Air Quality

5.1.1 Dust monitoring was conducted as scheduled in the reporting month. Monitoring of air quality was conducted on 5 occasions in August to September 2006. All monitoring data are provided in **Annex E**. Monitoring of 24-hour TSP was conducted at GCA B1 on 29th August, 4th, 9th, 15th and 21st September 2006. The QA/QC results for laboratory testing in the reporting month were acceptable. The QA/QC results are summarised in **Annex F**.

5.1.2 No exceedance of 24-TSP was recorded at GCA B1 in the reporting month.

5.2 Water Quality

5.2.1 Marine and freshwater water quality monitoring were conducted at the 9 and 7 designated monitoring stations respectively. All monitoring data are provided in **Annex E**.

5.2.2 Monitoring of marine and freshwater locations was conducted on 4 occasions in August to September 2006 (31st August, 4th, 12th, and 18th September 2006). The QA/QC results for laboratory testing in the reporting month were acceptable. The QA/QC results are summarised in **Annex F**.

5.2.3 On 9th and 13th September 2006, rainstorm signals were hoisted (they are 92.4 mm/day and 248.3 mm/day respectively). Approximate 13% are rainy days (squally showers mainly) during the reporting month. As the typhoon signal no. 3 was hoisted on 13th September 2006, the water sampling was delayed to 14th September 2006. Marine water exceedances were summarized as follows:

Marine water

- KLW : two action levels and one limit level exceedances of suspended solids;
- M_Marsh : two action levels and one limit level exceedances of suspended solids; one limit level exceedance of turbidity;
- TTC : three action levels and one limit level exceedances of suspended solids;
- M_BP : one action level of suspended solids and one action level of turbidity; and
- KS : two limit levels of suspended solids.

Table 5.2-1 Marine Water Exceedance Summary August - September 2006

Monitoring Station	Exceedance Level	Date	Parameters	Project-related
KLW	Action Level	4 th Sept 06	SS	No
	Limit Level	12 th Sept 06	SS	No
	Action Level	14 th Sept 06	SS	No
M_Marsh	Limit Level	9 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
	Limit Level	12 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
	Action Level	14 th Sept 06	SS, Turbidity	Yes
TTC	Limit Level	4 th Sept 06	SS	No
	Limit Level	9 th Sept 06	SS	No
	Action Level	12 th Sept 06	SS	No
M_BP	Limit Level	14 th Sept 06	SS	No
	Limit Level	4 th Sept 06	SS, Turbidity	Yes
	Action Level	12 th Sept 06	SS	No
KS	Action Level	14 th Sept 06	SS	No
	Action Level	14 th Sept 06	SS	No

Remarks: Exceedances were mainly due to the cause of heavy rainstorm event.

5.2.4 The marine water exceedances were summarised in **Table 5.2-1**. For the exceedances at KLW, TTC and KS were considered not project-related. The exceedances were mainly due to the natural variation of marine water. The magnitude of the increase of SS was similar to the control monitoring stations at M_A and M_B.

5.2.5 Silty runoff was observed after the heavy rainstorm event (9th and 14th September 2006) from Hole 17 to Stream A, temporary barging point existing freshwater inland marsh to marine water.

Freshwater

- F_DA : one limit level of turbidity and one action of suspended solids;
- F_DB : two action levels of turbidity;
- F_DC : four limit levels of turbidity and four action level of suspended solids; and
- F_Inland M : six limit levels of turbidity; three limit levels and three action levels of suspended solids.

5.2.6 The freshwater water exceedances were summarised in **Table 5.2-2**.

Table 5.2-2 Freshwater Exceedance Summary August to September 2006

Monitoring Station	Exceedance Level	Date	Parameters	Project-related
F_DA	Limit Level	14 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Action Level	14 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
F_DB	Action Level	9 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Action Level	14 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
F_DC	Limit Level	9 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Limit Level	12 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Limit Level	14 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Limit Level	18 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
F_Inland M	Action Level	9 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
	Action Level	12 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
	Action Level	14 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
	Action Level	18 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
	Limit Level	31 st Aug 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Limit Level	4 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Limit Level	9 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Limit Level	12 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Limit Level	14 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Limit Level	18 th Sept 06	Turbidity	Yes
	Action Level	31 st Aug 06	SS	Yes
	Action Level	4 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
	Action Level	9 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
	Limit Level	12 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
	Limit Level	14 th Sept 06	SS	Yes
	Limit Level	18 th Sept 06	SS	Yes

Remarks: For Streams A and B, exceedances were mainly due to the heavy rainstorm. For Stream C, exceedances were mainly after the haul road formation. For F_Inland M, the exceedances were mainly due to the heavy rainstorm and continue discharge of silty water from construction site in all times.

5.2.7 The exceedances recorded at Stream A were mainly attributed to insufficient temporary drainage system provided at the filling area of Hole 17 to Stream A. The filling work will resume in the next reporting month. No temporary drainage was provided to collect and divert

the runoff from the temporary bridge located within the Stream A buffer zone during this reporting month.

- 5.2.8 Haul road construction at the Streams B1 and B2 were commenced once the variation of environmental permit was approved on 18th August 2006. Exceedances of suspended solids and turbidity were considered runoff from the newly exposed area to Streams B1 and B2 and considered project-related. For the upstream monitoring location (F_UB), it is located downstream to the construction area near Hole 10 and the monitoring location cannot be relocated further upstream (temporary bridges located at Streams B1 and B2) as no water was observed and available for sampling. After reviewing the past three months water quality monitoring data, the upstream of Streams A, B & C are similar and can use as a cross reference as the control station. It is considered that F_UB is also the impact monitoring location rather than control monitoring station.
- 5.2.9 For Stream C, exceedances were recorded at both upstream and downstream monitoring locations. For the upstream monitoring location (F_UC), it is located downstream to the construction area near Hole 16 and the monitoring location cannot be relocated further upstream as no water was observed and available for sampling. Therefore, the F_UC is considered the most upstream location of Stream C. Same as Stream B, it is considered that F_UC is also the impact monitoring location rather than control monitoring station.
- 5.2.10 The exceedances recorded at freshwater inland marsh were mainly attributed to the silty runoff from Holes 1, 6, 7, 8 & 18 and wheel washing facility provided near the maintenance building to the existing freshwater inland marsh and considered project-related.

5.3 Ecology

- 5.3.1 Terrestrial and marine ecology monitoring photos are provided in **Annex E**.

Terrestrial Ecology

- 5.3.2 The Monitoring Survey for the reporting month was conducted on 21st September 2006. In the present monitoring survey, the main stream course of Stream A (the section downstream to the confluence of tributaries A1 and A2) was found still to be filled up by rubbles which was first report in June 2006 (see **Photo Plate 5.3-1**). The rubbles were reported to be washed down from the upper Tributary A2 which was under pipe culvert construction. Although the riparian vegetation was not affected by the rubbles, this section of stream channel was temporarily lost. Stream A was of the lowest ecological value among the four natural streams as it was heavily silted with sediments from eroded hillsides all year round. No stream fish and only very limited aquatic fauna were recorded in Stream A before. The impact from this temporary loss of stream channel would not be severe. But remedial works should be implemented to clear the rubbles and restore the channel as the condition of this stream is expected to improve after the construction of the golf course extension (in which the eroded hill slopes would be replaced by turf).
- 5.3.3 The demarcation of the stream buffer zone had been fully established at Stream A main stream and the two tributaries of Stream B at the time of the monitoring survey. Except at the temporary access bridges crossing Stream A and Stream B, riparian vegetation within the buffer zone was not disturbed by construction works. As the construction works fronts had not exceeded Stream A, it is anticipated that the establishment of stream buffer zone demarcation will be finished before the works fronts reach Stream C.
- 5.3.4 Although the surveyed streams have not been previously affected by developments or pollution sources, they are relatively small. Water depth was less than 0.3m in most of the stream reaches

even during wet season. Currently (still within dry season) these streams had very small surface flow or even had no surface flow for most of the length.

- 5.3.5 Stream A is located within the Project Area. Its main stream section (downstream to the confluence of two tributaries) would be protected by stream buffer zone (**Figure 3.3**). Stream A was heavily silted with sediments from eroded hillsides all year round, particularly at the main stream section. The stream had low flow.
- 5.3.6 Stream B is located within the Project Area. It had clear flow (with little sediment in the stream beds) of moderate volume during the wet season. This stream also has two main tributaries, B1 and B2. The full length of Stream B (two tributaries and the main stream) would all be protected by buffer zone (**Figure 3.3**). Stream B also contains a long estuarine section of muddy sandy substrate.
- 5.3.7 Stream C is located within the Project Area. This stream also has two main tributaries. It has had low but clear flow. In contrast to Stream B, Stream C drains to a sandy beach at Kau Chung Wan, and therefore lacks a clear estuarine zone. The full length of Stream C (two tributaries and the main stream) would all be protected by buffer zone (**Figure 3.3**).
- 5.3.8 Stream D is located outside the Project Area but within the Assessment Area and is the main stream draining the west side of the Assessment Area. It had clear water and moderate flow levels. Stream D is the only stream with deeper water depth among the four streams (water depth over 0.3 m in some of the stream reaches). As Stream D is outside the construction area, buffer zone would not be needed for this stream.
- 5.3.9 Aquatic fauna communities were checked during the monitoring survey. Atyid shrimp *Caridina trifasciata* were found in Stream B, Stream C & Stream D during the present monitoring survey (**Annex E - Photo Plate 5.3-1**). The recent rainfall had significantly increased the flow in the streams, but the advance of works fronts also increased the sediment inside the streams, especially in Stream C. It was observed that the water in Stream C was not clear but with a certain degree of turbulence. Water quality results for Stream C in this month had also recorded some incompliance. But Atyid shrimp *Caridina trifasciata* was still recorded in Stream C in the present survey.
- 5.3.10 Water levels in the 4 streams were much higher than in previous monitoring surveys during dry season. For the two tributaries in Stream B, the flow in B2 tributary was similar and flow in B1 tributary was also observed at its lower reach. The majority of Stream C had been found dry in previous monitoring surveys, but in the present survey surface flow was present in even upstream section. Stream D had surface flow even at the most upper reach. Photos of Streams A to D were shown in **Photo Plate 5.3-1 (Annex E)**.
- 5.3.11 The habitats and vegetation generally remained intact within a large portion of the project site (beyond the works fronts), within the major of stream buffer zone and outside the project area. No earthwork, human disturbance or fire disturbance was observed beyond the works fronts other than the historical erosion of hillsides and the access paths to the project site.

Marine Ecology

- 5.3.12 AFCD have no objection to reduce the monitoring frequency from monthly to quarterly until the end of the construction phase. According to the additional three months coral monitoring at Site B2, Site C and Control Site (Apr to June 06) due to the coral damage incident happened on 26th March 06, no exceedance was recorded on corals. To avoid similar incident from occurring again and as an additional measure to protect the corals along the shore, diving inspection by coral specialist is suggested during the period of demolition of the temporary barging point.

- 3.3.12 The present survey was the seventh monitoring survey (the first quarterly survey on the eastern Kau Sai Chau Island) and conducted on 8th and 9th September 2006. The survival and health conditions of the coral colonies were recorded.
- 5.3.13 Site B2 was the location for the temporary barging point. Some rectangular concrete blocks were deployed along the seaward side of the intertidal zone to form a seawall, and the area landward to this wall was filled with boulders. This was used as the landing point of the temporary barging point. Road surface was formed near the abandoned pier and connected to the landing point.
- 5.3.14 The conditions of the tagged corals during the present survey were compared with the conditions during the Baseline Survey (for B-11 to B-20) or in April 2006 (for B-21 to B-60) (**Annex E - Photo Plates 5.3-2 to 5.3-6**). Only 48 tagged corals were recovered, with B-59 and B-60 missing. These two missing corals were probably removed by the wave actions during the adverse weather conditions such as typhoons between June to September 2006 this year. B-19 was found toppled in the last monitoring survey (May 2006) and was then restored to the original posture. In the present monitoring, the mortality of this colony was found still remaining at about 70% as during June monitoring survey. This partial mortality of this colony should not be considered as a consequence of the operation of the temporary barging point. B-58 had also been found 60% mortality during June monitoring survey and its conditions remained similar in the present survey. Besides the above damages recorded in previous monitoring, new damages on tagged corals include B-42 which was found toppled with 90% mortality in the present survey. It was restored to its original posture. B-13 was also found partially buried by sediment with 30% mortality. The sediment on B-13 was removed. Other new mortality on tagged corals were all minor (<10%) (see **Table 5.3-1**). As there was no other coral showing significant mortality, it was unlikely that the mortality of B-42 and B-13 was caused by the barging point operation or the construction of the golf course. The partial mortality of these colonies should also not be considered as a consequence of the operation of the temporary barging point.
- 5.3.15 Other corals remained similar conditions with their baseline conditions during the Baseline Survey in December 2005 or in April 2006. No difference was identified on the conditions of the three groups (i.e. B11 to B20 within the barge area; B21 to B-40 to the north of the barge, and B-50 to B-60 to the south of the barge) of tagged corals.
- 5.3.16 Site C was on the south-eastern coast of Kau Sai Chau Island and had a high coral coverage among the sites investigated during the EIA Study. The sizes of coral colonies at Site C were also larger than those at other sites. Site C was away from the boundary of the new golf course and would not be subject to direct impacts during construction. The site and its vicinity still remained similar conditions as during the Baseline Survey. All 20 tagged corals were recovered. C-04 was found upside down on the seabed and suffered 100% mortality, while C-10 had sign of anchor damage. No other mortality, sedimentation or bleaching was found on other tagged corals (see **Table 5.3-2** and **Annex E - Photo Plates 5.3-7 to 5.3-8**).
- 5.3.17 The Control Site is the buoy of coral marker established by AFCD in Sharp Island. Similar with Site C, both the coral coverage percentage and the sizes of coral colonies were high at this site and would not be impacted by the Project. The site and its vicinity still remained similar conditions as during the Baseline Survey. Coral X-05 was lost in the last monitoring in June 2006. Other 19 tagged corals were recovered. No mortality, sedimentation or bleaching was found on any of the tagged corals (see **Table 5.3-3**). The corals remained similar conditions as during the Baseline Survey (**Annex E - Photo Plates 5.3-9 to 5.3-10**).

5.3.18 **Photo Plates 5.3-2 to 5.3-10** showed the photos of each tagged corals. The assigned numbers, species, mortality percentage, sedimentation coverage percentage and bleaching percentage of the baseline conditions of tagged corals and their present conditions were presented in **Tables 5.3-1 to 5.3-3** below.

Table 5.3-1 Conditions of tagged corals at Site B2

Code of tagged corals	Species*	Baseline Survey (Dec 2005 or Apr 2006)			Month Nine (Sept 2006)		
		Mortality (%)	Sedimentation (%)	Bleaching (%)	Mortality (%)	Sedimentation (%)	Bleaching (%)
Within the Temporary Barging Point Area							
B-11	<i>Turbinaria peltata</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-12	<i>Plesiastrea versipora</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-13	<i>Plesiastrea versipora</i>	0	0	0	30	0	0
B-14	<i>Goniastrea aspera</i>	0	0	0	0	3	0
B-15	<i>Lithophyllon undulatum</i>	0	0	0	0	10	0
B-16	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-17	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-18	<i>Turbinaria peltata</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-19	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	70	0	0
B-20	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
To the North of the Temporary Barging Point Area							
B-21	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-22	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-23	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-24	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-25	<i>Favites abdita</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-26	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-27	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-28	<i>Goniopora columna</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-29	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-30	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-31	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	5	0	0	0	0	0
B-32	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	3	0	0	0	0	0
B-33	<i>Turbinaria peltata</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-34	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	5	0
B-35	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-36	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-37	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-38	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-39	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-40	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
To the south of the Temporary Barging Point Area							
B-41	<i>Leptastrea pruinosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-42	<i>Goniastrea aspera</i>	0	0	0	90	0	0
B-43	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-44	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	10	0

Code of tagged corals	Species*	Baseline Survey (Dec 2005 or Apr 2006)			Month Nine (Sept 2006)		
		Mortality (%)	Sedimentation (%)	Bleaching (%)	Mortality (%)	Sedimentation (%)	Bleaching (%)
B-45	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-46	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-47	<i>Favites abdita</i>	0	0	0	5	0	0
B-48	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-49	<i>Goniopora columna</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-50	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-51	<i>Psammocora superficialis</i>	0	0	0	0	3	0
B-52	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	10	0	0
B-53	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	10	0	0
B-54	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-55	<i>Goniastrea aspera</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-56	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-57	<i>Goniastrea aspera</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
B-58	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	5	0	0	60	0	0
B-59	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	Lost	Lost	Lost
B-60	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	5	0	0	Lost	Lost	Lost

* Damaged corals were bold.

Table 5.3-2 Conditions of tagged corals at Site C

Code of tagged corals	Species	Baseline Survey (December 2005)			Month Nine (Sept 2006)		
		Mortality (%)	Sedimentation (%)	Bleaching (%)	Mortality (%)	Sedimentation (%)	Bleaching (%)
C-01	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-02	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-03	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-04	<i>Favites abdita</i>	0	0	0	100	0	0
C-05	<i>Turbinaria peltata</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-06	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-07	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-08	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-09	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-10*	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-11	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-12	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0

Code of tagged corals	Species	Baseline Survey (December 2005)			Month Nine (Sept 2006)		
		Mortality (%)	Sedimentation (%)	Bleaching (%)	Mortality (%)	Sedimentation (%)	Bleaching (%)
C-13	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-14	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-15	<i>Goniopora columna</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-16	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-17	<i>Goniopora columna</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-18	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-19	<i>Favites pentagona</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
C-20	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0

*C-10 had sign of anchor damages.

Table 5.3-3 Conditions of tagged corals at Control Site

Code of tagged corals	Species	Baseline Survey (December 2005)			Month Nine (Sept 2006)		
		Mortality (%)	Sedimentation (%)	Bleaching (%)	Mortality (%)	Sedimentation (%)	Bleaching (%)
X-01	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-02	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-03	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-04	<i>Pavona decussata</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-05	<i>Hydnophora exesa</i>	0	0	0	Lost	Lost	Lost
X-06	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-07	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-08	<i>Favites abdita</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-09	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-10	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-11	<i>Platygyra carnosus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-12	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-13	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-14	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-15	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-16	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-17	<i>Favia speciosa</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-18	<i>Platygyra acuta</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-19	<i>Goniastrea aspera</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
X-20	<i>Cyphastrea serailia</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0

5.4 Archaeology (Watching Brief)

- 5.4.1 Excavation was carried out at Hole 2 during this monitoring month and watching brief monitoring was carried out. According to the latest construction programme, part of the Hole 2 will not be completed in May 2006. Approximate 40% of the Hole 2 area was being excavated and the watching brief at Hole 2 will have to further extend. The first progress report (January to March 2006) had been sent to AMO for comments on 31st March 2006. The progress report will be submitted to AMO on quarterly basis.
- 5.4.2 The Archaeological Watching Brief (AWB) will consist of 18 days of on-site monitoring of the construction work. An initial site visit was made on 20th January 2006 to inspect preliminary vegetation clearance at Hole 2. The second site visit was undertaken on 3rd February 2006. The first day of the monitoring was agreed on 14th February 2006 after the confirmation with the Contractor that the bulk excavation was being carried out at Hole 2.
- 5.4.3 Monitoring results on 14th February 2006 was shown as follows:
Clearance of surface soil was monitored in Areas 1 and 2 (mainly the concrete batching plant and underground water tank location) which is around 60% of the actual bulk earthwork. All monitoring areas were investigated after vegetation clearance and no archaeological material was identified. A thirty minute video of the works was recorded. No works have been undertaken outside the Areas 1 and 2 up the reporting period (January to March 2006).
- 5.4.4 For the excavation at watching brief concern areas (Holes 11, 12, 14, 15 & 16), the excavation programme was commended in early September 2006 after the completion of temporary bridges construction at Streams B1 and B2. Vegetation clearance was started in early September at Holes 11, 12 and 16. Haul road formation was also completed at Holes 11, 12, 14 (partially), 15 (partially) and 16. Bulk earthwork at concerned watching brief area was started at Hole 11 in mid-September 2006. Archaeological watching brief monitoring has resumed during this reporting month.

5.5 Land Contamination

- 5.5.1 The Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) was approved by EPD 17th February 2006. Site investigation was carried out on 14th and 15th February 2006. Site audit was carried out with IEC on 14th February 2006 with the Contractor's representatives. The CAP was approved on 17th February 2006. The Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) and Remediation Action Plan (RAP) were approved on 18th August 2006.
- 5.5.2 Remedial work for the contaminated soil located at the Hotspot L3 (Hole 18) is required to be implemented properly according to the RAP. A confirmation pilot trial on the ratio of cement and contaminated soil was carried out during the reporting month. According to the Contractor's submitted methodology, the contaminated soil will be transferred from Hole 18 to Hole 17 for remediation. It is because that Hole 17 is the major fill area and the remediation soil to cement mixture can be used a general fill material. The full scale remediation work will be carried in the next reporting month (4th Oct 2006). A Final Site Remediation Report (FSRR) will be prepared by the Contractor and submitted once all remediation measures were implemented on site.

6. Environmental Site Auditing

- 6.1.1 The weekly site inspections were conducted by the ET with Contractor's representative and/or Jockey Club's representative on 29th August, 5th, 12th and 21st September 2006, and the monthly joined site inspection with IEC and the Contractor's representative undertaken on 21st September 2006. The following observations and recommendations were made.

Dust Mitigation Measures

- 6.1.2 Major excavation work was carried at Holes 6, 10 and 11 during the reporting month. Haul road was constructed linking up all 18 golf course except Hole 13. Sprinklers were provided when the rock breaking activities were carried out for dust suppression at Holes 6 and 18 only. Dust generation from the haul road, during earth moving operation and excavation were observed at sunny and windy weather, insufficient mitigation measures was provided on site. According to the site observation, only three water trucks were provided on site to minimize the dust generation from the haul road.
- 6.1.3 There were three large soil stockpiles on site at Hole 9, Hole 18 and near Hole 17. The one located at Hole 18 was covered by tarpaulin, the one located near Hole 17 was partially hydroseeded and no mitigation measure was provided for the one located at Hole 9. The Contractor was repeatedly urged to provide sufficient mitigation measures and watering the hydroseed areas.
- 6.1.4 The Contractor was reminded to minimize the dust generated by the site vehicles moving along the haul road by paving the heavy traffic haul road and haul road near to the existing golf course. For other excavation or earth moving areas, the Contractor was repeated reminded to provide sufficient dust suppression measure on site.
- 6.1.5 Concrete batching plant was operating during this reporting month. The estimated quantity of concrete produced was around 100m³/day. No major dust generation was observed from the concrete batching plant during operation.

Water Quality

Temporary Drainage Master Plan

- 6.1.6 The temporary drainage master plan (TDMP) for Holes 11-16 was submitted by the Contractor for ER to review during this reporting month. Silt fence was provided on site during the construction of haul road which was installed overlapping with the orange fencing for the buffer zone. According to their latest TDMP, the proposed temporary drainage was located into the Stream C buffer zone areas. We urged the Contractor to revise the layout plan and reminded the Contractor that no works (except construction of permanent and temporary bridge across of Stream C in dry season) is allowed.
- 6.1.7 The TDMP indicates that there are many discharge points along the construction boundary to the marine water and streams. Same as the earlier submission, the basic temporary drainage principle submitted by CHEC is that the construction site contaminated runoff will pass through the silt fence / rock channel and then discharge/overflow to marine or stream courses. The construction site contaminated runoff will not be confined, collected and properly treated before water discharge.
- 6.1.8 According to the site observation which is similar to the last few reporting months, cut-off drain was only implemented at Hole 17. The temporary drainage system implemented on site was

mainly surrounded with silt fence along the site boundary with few sedimentation basins before discharge. Most of the runoff was discharged by overland flow through silt fence to stream and marine water. The silt fence installed on site was not well-maintenance especially at formerly installed areas which may lead to silty runoff. The Contractor was repeatedly reminded to improve the effectiveness of the mitigation measures and provide sufficient temporary drainage system on site.

- 6.1.9 The present submitted TDMP is only for the control of silty runoff. The Contractor was reminded to prepare the temporary drainage plan before turf grass establishment. The pesticide is a prohibited substance which is not allowed to be discharged to any water bodies under the WPCO.
- 6.1.10 Due to the large volume of water runoff from the construction site, silty water was observed and discharged from the construction site to Stream A, temporary barging point, near Hole 2, near Hole 4 and freshwater inland marsh during and after the rainstorm occurred. The Contractor was reminded to improve the temporary drainage system and maintain the integrity and function of the silt fences on regular basis to prevent the silty runoff from construction site.
- 6.1.11 The Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient temporary drainage and cover/hydroseed/other means to prevent any silty runoff from the desalination plant especially the surrounding slope of the land formation area. No dredging of the intake and outfall pipelines was carried out during the reporting month.
- 6.1.12 The Contractor was reminded to provide adequate mitigation facilities on site and sufficient temporary drainage at temporary bridges no. 5, 9, 10 (two crossings) to ensure no polluted runoff discharge from the construction works to Stream A, Stream B and freshwater inland marsh. Any discharge of polluted runoff to the stream is prohibited. The Contractor was reminded to clear the silty deposit after the rainstorms as soon as possible.
- 6.1.13 For the newly constructed temporary crossings at Stream B, the decking were paved with concrete to prevent any dropping of mud/soil to the stream.
- 6.1.14 Active pumping from the lake near Hole 4 was observed after the rainstorms. The de-silting tank provided was insufficient to cater for the silt sedimentation.
- 6.1.15 Silt curtains were installed at the outlet freshwater inland marsh, near Hole 2, near Hole 4 and inactive fish culture zone. After the rainstorm event occurs, silty water overflow from the silt curtains were observed. Some of the silt curtain was deformed and not well-maintained. The Contractor was repeatedly reminded to maintain the silt curtain and desilt the settled solids within the silt curtain in a routine basis and ensure the effectiveness of its intended use.
- 6.1.16 The Contractor agreed that the silty water from the wheel washing facility was diverted to the sedimentation basin near the Contractor's site office and treated with the wastewater treatment plant before discharge to fresh water inland marsh. According to the site observations, the water was diverted to the sedimentation basin but the wastewater treatment plant was not in operation. Therefore, silty water was still directly discharged to the freshwater inland marsh.
- 6.1.17 The wastewater treatment plant original located at Hole 1 was relocated to Hole 10 for water collected from Lake near Hole 10 after rain and treated before discharge to downstream of Stream B.
- 6.1.18 No turf has been established during this reporting month. According to the construction programme, turf establishment will start in October 2006 at Hole 3, 5 & 8. The Contractor was reminded to provide temporary drainage system to collect and divert the runoff to the existing

reservoir when the permanent drainage system is not completed yet. The Contractor was recommended that turf establishment should not be concentrated in a short period of time to reduce the potential nutrients and pesticides runoff to freshwater and marine water sensitive receivers.

Ecology

- 6.1.19 Buffer zone at Stream A and Stream B2, Stream B1 (partially) and Stream C (partially) had been established. The whole buffer zone aims to protect the streams and avoid any works/equipment intrusion into the buffer zone.
- 6.1.20 The main stream course of Stream A was found to be filled up by rubbles to the level of the weir at its downstream end since mid-June 2006, and its conditions remained similar in the present monitoring survey. Remedial works were not yet to be implemented to clear the rubbles and restore the channel by hand.
- 6.1.21 Silty runoff was observed from construction work to Stream C during the site audit, the Contractor was urged and reminded to strengthen the temporary drainage provided on site.
- 6.1.22 Floating pontoon was berthed at EP location at the temporary barging point. No illegal berthing was observed during the site audit.
- 6.1.23 No dredging work was carried out at the desalination plant location. No monitoring and transplantation was carried at this area.

Waste / Chemical Management

- 6.1.24 Cleared vegetation was stockpile on site for a long time and no disposal was recorded. In addition, stockpile of construction waste was also observed located at Hole 2 at least four months. The Contractor was repeatedly reminded to dispose the vegetation stockpiles and construction waste off-site properly according to the waste management plan. The Contractor agreed to dispose the vegetation and construction waste in the coming dry season.
- 6.1.25 No chemical storage area was available on site during the reporting month. Some oil tanks were required as the standby fuel. Drip trays were provided underneath the oil tanks to prevent leakage on the bare ground. The Contractor confirmed that the chemical waste generated was in small amount and would be disposed by their sub-contractor or store on site. The chemicals adjacent for the wastewater treatment plants were covered with tarpaulin, no proper chemical storage area was provided on site. The Contractor was reminded to provide chemical storage areas for chemical storage on site.
- 6.1.26 According to the Jockey Club comments on the general refuse from the construction site, maggots were found in their dumping ground within the existing golf course. The Contractor was requested to provide the waste generation and disposal record to ensure that all waste generated from the site is disposed properly and not through Jockey Club existing dumping system. According to the site observation, the general refuse was still accumulated within the construction area without proper disposal for more than few weeks.

Landscape and Visual

- 6.1.27 The landscape and visual monitoring and site audits were carried out on 6th and 20th September 2006. During the site audit, site formation, vegetation clearance works and building construction were being carried out.

- 6.1.28 Damaged trees (such as T933, T934) next to administration building were still unprotected after being damaged by the adjacent construction activities. Construction materials are recorded at tree bases and trees are not properly protected.
- 6.1.29 Tree T1014 was transplanted in this month and is in fair condition. All other transplanted trees are in fair condition. Mal-pruning of transplanted trees has not been rectified. Construction material is stockpiled within tree protection zones. A statement on the cause of death of tree T925 recorded in the last report was still outstanding.

Status of Environmental Licensing and Permitting

- 6.1.30 Permits / licences submission and approval status are summarised in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Summary of Environmental Licensing and Permit Status

Permit/licence/notification form title	Submission date	Status	Registration No./Remarks
Application for a construction noise permit for the use of powered mechanical equipment for the purpose of carrying out construction work other than percussive piling and/or the carrying out of prescribed construction work.	21 st Jan 2006	Approved on 16 th February 2006	GW-RE0012-06 (valid until 3 rd July 2006), supersede by GW-RE0067-06.
Application for a construction noise permit for the use of powered mechanical equipment for the purpose of carrying out construction work other than percussive piling and/or the carrying out of prescribed construction work.	23 rd May 2006	Approved on 9 th Jun 06 (supersede the GW-RE0067-06)	GW-RE0157-06 (valid until 28 th Nov 2006)
Notification of the air pollution control (construction dust) regulation	21 st Jan 2006	Acknowledge receipt from EPD on 27 th February 2006	Ref. no.: 001006902
Registration as a chemical waste producer	10 th Jan 2006	Register on 7 th February 2006	WPN-5213-813-C1186-04
Application for a permit to dump material at sea under the Dumping at Sea Ordinance	10 th Jan 2006	Deferred by CHEC on 17 th March 2006 (CHEC/KSC3.9.1/0459)	No dredging work will be carried out between May to December 2006.
Application of exemption account for the construction waste charging scheme	12 th Jan 2006	Approved on 16 th January 2006	A/C no. 5005322 (valid until 2 nd August 2007)
Application for a licence for production pursuant to Section 14 of Air pollution Control Ordinance	2 nd Mar 2006	The total silo capacity for the cement works was 45 tonnes which is lower than 50 tonnes. It is not a specified process, application is not required.	EPD letter refer. no.: EP640/EA/SK/015
Application for a licence under Water Pollution Ordinance – Sewage treatment for toilets and pantry	14 th Mar 2006	Awaiting for approval (CHEC/KSC3/9.1/0414)	
Application for a licence under Water Pollution Ordinance – temporary drainage	16 th Mar 2006	Awaiting for approval (CHEC/KSC3/9.1/0460)	

7. Environmental Non-Conformance

7.1 Summary of Environmental Non-Compliance

Air Quality

- 7.1.1 No non-compliance of 24-TSP was recorded at GCA B1 in the reporting month.

Marine Water Quality

- 7.1.2 Two limit level and one action level exceedances of suspended solids and one action level exceedance of turbidity at M_Marsh. One limit level of suspended solids and one limit level exceedances of turbidity at M_BP.

Freshwater Quality

- 7.1.3 One limit level exceedance of turbidity and one action level exceedance of suspended solids at Stream A. Two action level exceedance of turbidity at Stream B. Four limit level exceedances of turbidity and four action level exceedances of suspended solids at Stream C. (i) Six limit level exceedances of turbidity and (ii) three action level and three limit level exceedances of suspended solids at F_Inland Marsh.

Terrestrial Ecology

- 7.1.4 Turbid water and silty deposit were observed and recorded at Stream C during the site audit. The potential runoff is due to the haul road formation at Holes 14-16 in September 2006.

Marine Ecology

- 7.1.5 Coral monitoring survey was carried out during this reporting month. The damage corals were considered not project-related and mainly due to the typhoon (strong water waves) occurred between July to September 2006.

7.2 Summary of Environmental Complaint

- 7.2.1 One environmental complaint was received on 6 September 2006 about the dust generation from the construction site during the reporting month. A follow up site investigation report and further mitigation measures were submitted to EPD for comments.

7.3 Summary of Environmental Summons

- 7.3.1 There was no notification of summons with respect to environmental issues registered in this month.

8. Future Key Issues

8.1 Key Issues for coming month

8.1.1 Major works to be taken for the coming monitoring period are summarized as follows.

- Operation of temporary barging point
- Operation of sewage treatment plant
- Operation of concrete batching plant
- Operation of wastewater treatment plants
- Land formation for desalination plant
- Drainage and irrigation systems installation at Golf Holes 1-9 & 17-18
- Haul road formation and bulk excavation at Golf Holes 10, 11-16
- Gravity drain construction from Lake 1D to reservoir
- Implementation of temporary drainage master plan
- Implementation of dust suppression mitigation measures
- Vegetation, general and construction wastes disposal off-site

8.2 Monitoring Schedule for the coming month

8.2.1 The tentative schedule of air, water, ecology and landscape & visual monitoring for the next three months is presented in **Annex F**. The environmental monitoring will be conducted at the same monitoring locations in this reporting month. The monitoring programme has been reviewed and was considered as adequate to cater the nature of works to be undertaken.

8.3 Construction programme for the next three month

8.3.1 The construction programme for the next three months is presented in **Annex G**.

9. Recommendations and Conclusions

- 9.1.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report presents the EM&A works undertaken during the period from 25th August 2006 to 24th September 2006 in accordance with EM&A Manual and the requirement under EP-224-2005/A.
- 9.1.2 The Contractor was repeatedly reminded to improve and provide sufficient temporary drainage system on site to prevent silty runoff to marine and stream courses. In addition, the Contractor was reminded to provide sufficient dust suppression mitigation measures especially during rock breaking activity, earth movement (loading and unloading), at haul road (vehicle movement) and large soils stockpiles.
- 9.1.3 In the June 2006 monitoring survey of terrestrial ecology, the main stream course of Stream A was found to be filled up with rubbles to the level of the weir at its downstream end, and its conditions remained similar in the present monitoring survey. The Contractor was reminded to control the construction work quality and prevent same incident happened in future. Remedial works were not implemented to clear the rubbles and restore the channel by hand.
- 9.1.4 Coral damages were recorded at temporary barging point (Site B2) and Site C. Two corals were lost at the temporary barging point (B59 and B60). The partial mortality of these colonies were contributed by the strong wave induced by typhoon occurred between June to September 2006 and was not consider as a consequence of the operation of the temporary barging point. For Site C, C-04 was found upside down on the seabed and suffered 100% mortality, while C-10 had sign of anchor damage. The mortalities for both corals are considered not project-related.
- 9.1.5 Same as the last reporting month, no rectification work was done by the Contractor. Regarding the retained trees, the Contractor shall take the following measures:
- Carry out surgery to damaged trees;
 - Report the cause of death of tree T925; and
 - Maintain the tree protection zone required and remove all construction material / debris from the tree protection zone.
- 9.1.6 The Contractor shall rectify the mal-pruning practice of the transplanted trees. Bulk hydroseeding works shall continue when practical.
- 9.1.7 One environmental complaint and environmental summons/prosecutions were received during the reporting period.
- 9.1.8 The ET will keep track of the EM&A programme with respect to compliance of environmental requirements and the proper implementation of all necessary mitigation measures.